arXiv:1209.5604v1 [math.PR] 25 Sep 2012

Tailed Probabilities in Queueing Processes

Quan-Lin Li
School of Economics and Management Sciences
Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China

September 26, 2012

Abstract

In the study of large scale stochastic networks with resource management, differential equations and mean-field limits are two key techniques. Recent research shows that the expected fraction vector (that is, the tailed probability vector) plays a key role in setting up mean-field differential equations. To further apply the technique of tailed probability vector to deal with resource management of large scale stochastic networks, this paper discusses tailed probabilities in some basic queueing processes including QBD processes, Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and of M/G/1 type, and also provides some effective and efficient algorithms for computing the tailed probabilities by means of the matrix-geometric solution, the matrix-iterative solution, the matrix-product solution and the two types of RG-factorizations. Furthermore, we consider four queueing examples: The M/M/1 retrial queue, the M(n)/M(n)/1 queue, the M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations and the M/M/1 queue with repairable server, where the M/M/1 retrial queue is given a detailed discussion, while the other three examples are analyzed simply. Note that the results given in this paper will be very useful in the study of large scale stochastic networks with resource management, including the supermarket models and the work stealing models.

Keywords: Randomized load balancing; supermarket model; work stealing model; QBD Process; Markov chain of GI/M/1 type; Markov chain of M/G/1 type.

1 Introduction

We consider a discrete-time (resp. continuous-time) Markov chain whose transition probability matrix (resp. infinitesimal generator) is given by

$$P=\begin{pmatrix} P_{0,0} & P_{0,1} & P_{0,2} & P_{0,3} & \cdots \\ P_{1,0} & P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} & P_{1,3} & \cdots \\ P_{2,0} & P_{2,1} & P_{2,2} & P_{2,3} & \cdots \\ P_{3,0} & P_{3,1} & P_{3,2} & P_{3,3} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix},$$

where the size of the matrix $P_{0,0}$ is m_0, the size of the matrix $P_{j,j}$ is m for $j \geq 1$, and the sizes of other matrices can be determined accordingly. We assume that the Markov chain P is irreducible, aperiod and positive recurrent. Let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$ be the stationary probability vector of the Markov chain P, where the size of the vector x_0 is m_0 while the size of the vector x_j is m for $j \geq 1$. The main purpose of this paper is to discuss the tailed probabilities: $\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j$ and to provide some effective and efficient algorithms for computing the tailed probabilities π_k for $k \geq 1$.

Recent queueing literature indicates that the study of tailed probabilities $\{\pi_k, k \geq 1\}$ plays a key role in analyzing large scale stochastic networks with resource management, such as, the supermarket models and the work stealing models, e.g., see Vvedenskaya and Suhov [39], Mitzenmacher [27], Ethier and Kurtz [10] and Kurtz [14]. When considering a large scale stochastic network with resource management, differential equations and mean-field limits are two key techniques, while the tailed probabilities is a key in setting up mean-field differential equations. The detailed interpretation on the mean-field differential equations is given by Vvedenskaya, Dobrushin and Karpelevich [38] and Mitzenmacher [25]. In those two papers, the authors considered a supermarket model with N identical servers, where the service times are exponential with service rate μ, and the input flow is Poisson with arrival rate $N\lambda$. Upon arrival, each customer chooses $d \geq 1$ servers from the N servers independently and uniformly at random, and joins the one whose queue length is the shortest. Let $n_k^{(N)}(t)$ denote the number of servers queued by at least $k \geq 0$ customers at time t, and $u_k(t) = \lim_{N\to\infty} E\left[n_k^{(N)}(t)/N\right]$. If $\rho = \lambda/\mu < 1$. Then the supermarket model is stable and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} u_k(t) = \lambda \left\{ [u_{k-1}(t)]^d - [u_k(t)]^d \right\} - \mu \left[u_k(t) - u_{k+1}(t) \right] \tag{1}$$

with the boundary condition $u_0(t) = 1$. We write that $\pi_k = \lim_{t\to+\infty} u_k(t)$ for $k \geq 1$. Then $\pi_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$\lambda\left(\pi_{k-1}^d - \pi_k^d\right) - \mu\left(\pi_k - \pi_{k+1}\right) = 0. \tag{2}$$

This gives

$$\pi_k = \rho^{\frac{d^k-1}{d-1}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

If $d = 1$, then $\pi_k = \rho^k$ for $k \geq 0$ are the tailed probabilities of the M/M/1 queue.

Since the introduction of the expected fraction vector (or the tailed probability vector) by Vvedenskaya, Dobrushin and Karpelevich [38] or Mitzenmacher [25], research on supermarket models and work stealing models has been greatly motivated by some practical applications such as computer networks, manufacturing systems and transportation networks. Subsequent papers have been published on this theme, among which, see, modeling more crucial factors by Mitzenmacher [26, 27], Jacquet and Vvedenskaya [12], Jacquet, Suhov and Vvedenskaya [13] and Vvedenskaya and Suhov [40]; studying fast Jackson networks by Martin and Suhov [24], Martin [23] and Suhov and Vvedenskaya [36]; discussing value of information by Mitzenmacher [28] and Mitzenmacher, Prabhakar and Shah [29]; analyzing non-exponential server times and/or non-Poisson inputs by Mitzenmacher [25], Vvedenskaya and Suhov [39], Bramson, Lu and Prabhakar [3, 4, 5, 6], Li, Lui and Wang [20], Li and Lui [19] and Li [17]. For a comprehensive analysis of supermarket models and work stealing models, readers may refer to Vvedenskaya and Suhov [39], Mitzenmacher, Richa and Sitaraman [30] and Mitzenmacher and Upfal [31].

During the last two decades considerable attention has been paid to studying QBD processes, which has been well documented, for example, by Chapter 3 of Neuts [33], Naoumov [32], Bright and Taylor [7, 8], Ramaswami [35], Latouche and Ramaswami [15] and Li and Cao [18]. For Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and Markov chains of M/G/1 type, readers may refer to four excellent books by Neuts [33, 34], Latouche and Ramaswami [15] and Li [16].

Some papers were published on asymptotic behavior of the stationary probability vectors for both queueing systems and Markov chains. Readers may refer to, such as, Markov chains of $GI/M/1$ type by Neuts [33]; Markov chains of $M/G/1$ type by Falkenberg [11], Abate, Choudhury and Whitt [1], Choudhury and Whitt [9], Asmussen and Møller [2] and Takine [37]; and Markov chains of $GI/G/1$ type by Li and Zhao [21, 22].

The main purpose of this paper is to provide some novel, effective and efficient algorithms for computing the tailed probabilities in three classes of important Markov chains: QBD processes, Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and Markov chains of M/G/1 type. Note that the algorithms are based on the matrix-geometric solution, the matrix-iterative solution, the matrix-product solution and the two types of RG-factorizations. Also, we consider four queueing examples: The M/M/1 retrial queue, the M(n)/M(n)/1 queue, the M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations and the M/M/1 queue with repairable server. Based on this, it is seen that the method of this paper can deal with more general queue examples, for example, the MAP/PH/1 queue, the GI/PH/1 queue and the BMAP/SM/1 queue. Therefore, the results of this paper are very useful in the study of large scale stochastic networks with resource management, such as, the supermarket models and the work stealing models.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we analyze a continuous-time level-independent QBD process. When the QBD process is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent, we apply the matrix-geometric solution and the two types of RG-factorizations to compute the tailed probabilities of its stationary probability vector. In Section 3, we consider an continuous-time level-dependent QBD process, and compute the tailed probabilities of its stationary probability vector. In Section 4, we discuss two classes of important Markov chains: Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and of M/G/1 type, and derive the tailed probabilities of their stationary probability vectors. In Section 5, we study four queueing examples, where the M/M/1 retrial queue is given a detailed discussion, while the other three queues are analyzed simply. Some concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2 Level-Independent QBD Processes

In this section, we consider a continuous-time level-independent QBD process. When the QBD process is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent, we apply the two types of RG-factorizations to compute the tailed probabilities of its stationary probability vector. Furthermore, the tailed probabilities of the stationary probability vector is well related to the matrix-geometric solution when the two types of RG-factorizations are used.

We consider a continuous-time level-independent QBD process whose infinitesimal generator is given by

$$Q=\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_0 & & & & \\ B_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & \\ & & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where the sizes of the two matrices B_1 and A_1 are m_0 and m, respectively; and the sizes of other matrices can be determined accordingly. We assume that this QBD process is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent.

2.1 The matrix-geometric solution

Let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ be the stationary probability vector of the QBD process, and R and G the minimal nonnegative solutions to the nonlinear equations $A_0 + RA_1 + R^2A_2 = 0$ and $A_0G^2 + A_1G + A_2 = 0$, respectively. Then

$$x_k = x_1 R^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{4}$$

where x_0 and x_1 are uniquely determined by the following system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} x_0B_1 + x_1B_2 = 0, \\ x_0B_0 + x_1\left(A_1 + RA_2\right) = 0, \\ x_0e + x_1\left(I - R\right)^{-1}e = 1. \end{cases}$$

We write

$$\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{5}$$

It follows from (4) that

$$\pi_k = x_1\left(I - R\right)^{-1}R^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

2.2 The UL-type RG-factorization

Now, we apply the UL-type RG-factorizations to provide a novel method for deriving the tailed probability vector $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \ldots)$.

Note that $xQ = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} x_0B_0 + x_1A_1 + x_2A_2 = 0, & k = 1, \\ x_{k-1}A_0 + x_kA_1 + x_{k+1}A_2 = 0, & k \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

This gives

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 \left(A_0 + A_1\right) + \pi_2 A_2 = -x_0 B_0, & k = 1, \\ x_{k-1} A_0 + x_k A_1 + x_{k+1} A_2 = 0, & k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\pi \mathbb{Q} = -\left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right), \tag{8}$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 + A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & \\ & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & \\ & & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\Phi_0 = A_0 + A_1 + R A_2,$$

$$\Phi_k = \Phi = A_1 + R A_2, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then the UL-type RG-factorization of the matrix $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left(I - R_U\right) U \left(I - G_L\right), \tag{9}$$

where

$$R_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R & & & \\ & 0 & R & & \\ & & 0 & R & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U = \operatorname{diag}\left(\Phi_0, \Phi, \Phi, \ldots\right)$$

and

$$G_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ G & 0 & & & \\ & G & 0 & & \\ & & G & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= -\left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right) \left(I - G_L\right)^{-1} U^{-1} \left(I - R_U\right)^{-1} \\ &= -\left(x_0 B_0 \Phi_0^{-1}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right) \left(I - R_U\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left(I-R_U\right)^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} I & R & R^2 & R^3 & \cdots \\ & I & R & R^2 & \cdots \\ & & I & R & \cdots \\ & & & I & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 = x_0 B_0 \left(-\Phi_0^{-1}\right), & k=1, \\ \pi_k = x_0 B_0 \left(-\Phi_0^{-1}\right) R^{k-1}, & k \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Comparing (10) with (6), we obtain

$$x_0 B_0 \left(-\Phi_0^{-1}\right) = x_1 \left(I-R\right)^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

2.3 The LU-type RG-factorization

In what follows we apply the LU-type RG-factorizations to provide a novel and effective method for deriving the tailed probability vector $\pi = \left(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \ldots\right)$.

Let

$$\Psi_0 = A_0 + A_1$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\Psi_k = A_1 + A_2 \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1}\right) A_0.$$

We write that for $k \geq 1$

$$\mathbf{R}_k = A_2 \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1}\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_{k-1} = \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1}\right) A_0.$$

Then the LU-type RG-factorization of the matrix $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left(I-R_L\right) \mathbf{U} \left(I-G_U\right), \tag{12}$$

where

$$R_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \mathbf{R}_1 & 0 & & & \\ & \mathbf{R}_2 & 0 & & \\ & & \mathbf{R}_3 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U = \operatorname{diag}\left(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \ldots\right)$$

and

$$G_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{G}_0 & & & \\ & 0 & \mathbf{G}_1 & & \\ & & 0 & \mathbf{G}_2 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$X_k^{(l)} = \mathbf{R}_l \mathbf{R}_{l-1} \mathbf{R}_{l-2} \cdots \mathbf{R}_{l-k+1}, \quad l \geq k \geq 1,$$

$$Y_k^{(l)} = \mathbf{G}_l \mathbf{G}_{l+1} \mathbf{G}_{l+2} \cdots \mathbf{G}_{l+k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, l \geq 0.$$

Then

$$-\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}\left(-\Psi_0^{-1}, -\Psi_1^{-1}, -\Psi_2^{-1}, -\Psi_3^{-1}, \ldots\right),$$

$$(I - R_L)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & & \\ X_1^{(1)} & I & & & \\ X_2^{(2)} & X_1^{(2)} & I & & \\ X_3^{(3)} & X_2^{(3)} & X_1^{(3)} & I & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(I - G_U)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & Y_1^{(0)} & Y_2^{(0)} & Y_3^{(0)} & \cdots \\ & I & Y_1^{(1)} & Y_2^{(1)} & \cdots \\ & & I & Y_1^{(2)} & \cdots \\ & & & I & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that

$$\pi = -\left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right)\left(I - G_U\right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}^{-1} \left(I - R_L\right)^{-1},$$

this gives

$$\pi_1 = \left(-U_0^{-1}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k^{(0)} \left(-U_k^{-1}\right) X_k^{(k)}, \tag{13}$$

and $n \geq 2$

$$\pi_n = Y_{n-1}^{(0)} \left(-U_{n-1}^{-1}\right) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} Y_k^{(0)} \left(-U_k^{-1}\right) X_{k-(n-1)}^{(k)}. \tag{14}$$

3 Level-Dependent QBD Processes

In this section, we consider a continuous-time level-dependent QBD process. When the QBD process is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent, we apply the LU-type RG-factorization to compute the tailed probabilities of its stationary probability vector. Similarly, we can apply the UL-type RG-factorization to compute the tailed probabilities without any difficulty.

We consider a continuous-time level-dependent QBD process whose infinitesimal generator is given by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(0)} & A_0^{(0)} & & & \\ A_2^{(1)} & A_1^{(1)} & A_0^{(1)} & & \\ & A_2^{(2)} & A_1^{(2)} & A_0^{(2)} & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

where the size of the matrix $A_1^{(0)}$ is m_0 while the size of the matrix $A_1^{(k)}$ is m for $k \geq 1$. We assume that this QBD process is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent.

3.1 The matrix-product solution

Let the matrix sequence $\{R_l, l \geq 0\}$ be the minimal nonnegative solution to the system of nonlinear matrix equations

$$A_0^{(l)} + R_l A_1^{(l+1)} + R_l R_{l+1} A_2^{(l+2)} = 0, \quad l \geq 0.$$

Using Chapter 1 of Li [16], we have

$$x_0 = \kappa v, \tag{16}$$

$$x_k = \kappa v R_0 R_1 \cdots R_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{17}$$

where κ is a normalization constant, v is the stationary probability vector of the censored chain $U_0 = A_1^{(0)} + R_0 A_2^{(1)}$ to level 0. Therefore, it follows from (16) and (17) that

$$\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j = \kappa v R_0 R_1 \cdots R_{k-1} \left(I + R_k + R_k R_{k+1} + R_k R_{k+1} R_{k+2} + \cdots \right).$$

3.2 The LU-type RG-factorization

Here, we only provide a detailed analysis for applying the LU-type RG-factorization to compute the tailed probabilities, while the UL-type RG-factorization can be used similarly for such an analysis.

Since

$$\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j, \quad k \geq 1,$$

it is easy to see that $x_k = \pi_k - \pi_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$. Note that $xQ = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 A_1^{(1)} + \pi_2 \left[A_2^{(2)} - A_1^{(1)} \right] - \pi_3 A_2^{(2)} = -x_0 A_0^{(0)}, & k = 1, \\ \pi_{k-1} A_0^{(k-1)} + \pi_k \left[A_1^{(k)} - A_0^{(k-1)} \right] + \pi_{k+1} \left[A_2^{(k+1)} - A_1^{(k)} \right] - \pi_{k+2} A_2^{(k+1)} = 0, & k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

we obtain that $\pi \mathbf{Q} = -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots \right)$, where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(1)} & A_0^{(1)} & & & & & \\ A_2^{(2)} - A_1^{(1)} & A_1^{(2)} - A_0^{(1)} & A_0^{(2)} & & & & \\ -A_2^{(2)} & A_2^{(3)} - A_1^{(2)} & A_1^{(3)} - A_0^{(2)} & A_0^{(3)} & & & \\ & -A_2^{(3)} & A_2^{(4)} - A_1^{(3)} & A_1^{(4)} - A_0^{(3)} & A_0^{(4)} & & \\ & & -A_2^{(4)} & A_2^{(5)} - A_1^{(4)} & A_1^{(5)} - A_0^{(4)} & A_0^{(5)} & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$\pi \left(Q - \mathbb{Q} \right) = -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots \right), \tag{18}$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\pi \left(I - \mathbb{Q} Q_{\max}^{-1} \right) = -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots \right) Q_{\max}^{-1},$$

where $Q_{\max}^{-1}$ is the maximal non-positive inverse of the infinitesimal generator Q. Hence, this gives

$$\pi = -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots \right) Q_{\max}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{Q} Q_{\max}^{-1} \right)^k.$$

Now, we apply the LU-type RG-factorization to provide the maximal non-positive inverse $Q_{\max}^{-1}$ of the matrix Q. To that end, we write

$$\Psi_0 = A_1^{(0)}$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\Psi_k = A_1^{(k)} + A_2^{(k)} \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1} \right) A_0^{(k-1)}.$$

It is easy to check that Ψ_l is the infinitesimal generator of an irreducible continuous-time Markov chain, and the Markov chain Ψ_l is transient. Thus the matrix Ψ_l is invertible for $l \geq 0$.

Based on the U-measure $\{\Psi_l\}$, for $k \geq 1$ we can respectively define the LU-type R- and G-measures as

$$\mathbf{R}_k = A_2^{(k)} \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1}\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_{k-1} = \left(-\Psi_{k-1}^{-1}\right) A_0^{(k-1)}.$$

Note that the matrix sequence $\{\mathbf{R}_k : k \geq 1\}$ is the unique nonnegative solution to the system of nonlinear matrix equations

$$\mathbf{R}_{k+1}\mathbf{R}_k A_0^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{R}_{k+1} A_1^{(k)} + A_2^{(k+1)} = 0,$$

with the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = A_2^{(1)} \left(-\Psi_0^{-1}\right).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\mathbf{R}_{k+1} = -A_2^{(k+1)} \left[\mathbf{R}_k A_0^{(k-1)} + A_1^{(k)}\right]^{-1}.$$

Similarly, the matrix sequence $\{\mathbf{G}_k : k \geq 0\}$ is the unique nonnegative solution to the system of nonlinear matrix equations

$$A_0^{(k)} + A_1^{(k)}\mathbf{G}_k + A_2^{(k)}\mathbf{G}_{k-1}\mathbf{G}_k = 0,$$

with the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{G}_0 = \left(-\Psi_0^{-1}\right) A_0^{(0)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathbf{G}_k = -\left[A_1^{(k)} + A_2^{(k)}\mathbf{G}_{k-1}\right]^{-1} A_0^{(k)}.$$

The LU-type RG-factorization of the QBD process is given by

$$Q = \left(I - \mathbb{R}_L\right) \mathbb{U}_D \left(I - \mathbb{G}_U\right), \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mathbb{R}_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \mathbf{R}_1 & 0 & & & \\ & \mathbf{R}_2 & 0 & & \\ & & \mathbf{R}_3 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{U}_D = \operatorname{diag}\left(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \ldots\right),$$

$$\mathbb{G}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{G}_0 & & & \\ & 0 & \mathbf{G}_1 & & \\ & & 0 & \mathbf{G}_2 & \\ & & & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$X_k^{(l)} = \mathbf{R}_l \mathbf{R}_{l-1} \mathbf{R}_{l-2} \cdots \mathbf{R}_{l-k+1}, \quad l \geq k \geq 1, \tag{20}$$

and

$$Y_k^{(l)} = \mathbf{G}_l \mathbf{G}_{l+1} \mathbf{G}_{l+2} \cdots \mathbf{G}_{l+k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, l \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

Then

$$(I - \mathbb{R}_L)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & & \\ X_1^{(1)} & I & & & \\ X_2^{(2)} & X_1^{(2)} & I & & \\ X_3^{(3)} & X_2^{(3)} & X_1^{(3)} & I & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(I - \mathbb{G}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} I & Y_1^{(0)} & Y_2^{(0)} & Y_3^{(0)} & \cdots \\ & I & Y_1^{(1)} & Y_2^{(1)} & \cdots \\ & & I & Y_1^{(2)} & \cdots \\ & & & I & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right) Q_{\max}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{Q} Q_{\max}^{-1}\right)^k \\ &= -\left(x_0 A_0^{(0)}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right) (I - \mathbb{G}_U)^{-1} \mathbb{U}_D^{-1} (I - \mathbb{R}_L)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\mathbb{Q} (I - \mathbb{G}_U)^{-1} \mathbb{U}_D^{-1} (I - \mathbb{R}_L)^{-1}\right]^k. \end{aligned}$$

This can be calculated by some ordinary matrix computation.

4 Two Classes of Important Markov Chains

In this section, we consider two classes of important Markov chains: Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and of M/G/1 type, each of which is basic in the study of queueing processes, e.g., see Neuts [33, 34] for more details. We provide two different methods to derive the tailed probabilities of stationary probability vectors of the two classes of Markov chains.

4.1 Markov chains of GI/M/1 type

We consider a discrete-time Markov chain P of $GI/M/1$ type whose transition matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_0 & & & & \\ B_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ B_3 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & \\ B_4 & A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

where the sizes of the two matrices B_1 and A_1 are m_0 and m, respectively, while the sizes of other matrices can be determined accordingly. We assume that this Markov chain is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent. Let the matrix R be the minimal nonnegative solution to the nonlinear matrix equation $R = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k A_k$.

In what follows we provide two methods to derive the tailed probabilities of the stationary probability vector.

(a) The matrix-geometric solution

Using Chapter 2 of Li [16], the stationary probability vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \tau y_0, \\ x_k = x_0 R_1 R^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$R_1 = \left(I - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k B_{k+1} \right)^{-1} B_0$$

and

$$\Psi_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k B_{k+1},$$

y_0 is the stationary probability vector of the censored Markov chain Ψ_0 to level 0 and the scalar τ is determined by $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k e = 1$ uniquely. Thus, for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j = x_0 R_1 \left(I - R \right)^{-1} R^{k-1}. \tag{23}$$

(b) The UL-type RG-factorization

Note that $x = xP$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 = \pi_1 \left(A_0 + A_1\right) + \sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \pi_k A_k + x_0 B_0, & k = 1, \\ \pi_k = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_{k-1+j} A_j, & k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

this gives

$$\pi = \pi \mathbb{P} + \left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right), \tag{24}$$

where

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 + A_1 & A_0 & & & & \\ A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & \\ A_4 & A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

which is of GI/M/1 type. Then using Chapter 2 of Li [16], the U-measure is given by

$$\Psi_0 = A_0 + A_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} R_1 R^{k-2} A_k$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\Psi = \Psi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k-1} A_k;$$

the R-measure is given by

$$R_k = R, \quad k \geq 1,$$

and the G-measure

$$G_{j,0} = (I - \Psi)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} R^{k-1} A_k \right), \quad j \geq 1,$$

and

$$G_j = (I - \Psi)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} R^{k-1} A_k \right), \quad j \geq 1.$$

Thus, the UL-type RG-factorization is given by

$$I - \mathbb{P} = \left(I - R_U\right)\left(I - \Phi_D\right)\left(I - G_L\right), \tag{25}$$

where

$$R_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R & & & \\ & 0 & R & & \\ & & 0 & R & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_D = \operatorname{diag}\left(\Psi_0, \Psi, \Psi, \Psi, \cdots\right)$$

and

$$G_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ G_{1,0} & 0 & & & \\ G_{2,0} & G_1 & 0 & & \\ G_{3,0} & G_2 & G_1 & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (24) and (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right)\left(I - \mathbb{P}\right)^{-1}_{\min} \\ &= \left(x_0 B_0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right)\left(I - G_L\right)^{-1}\left(I - \Phi_D\right)^{-1}\left(I - R_U\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(x_0 B_0 \left(I - \Psi_0\right)^{-1}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\right)\left(I - R_U\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left(I - R_U\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & R & R^2 & R^3 & \cdots \\ & I & R & R^2 & \cdots \\ & & I & R & \cdots \\ & & & I & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\pi_k = x_0 B_0 \left(I - \Psi_0\right)^{-1} R^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{26}$$

Comparing (26) with (23), we obtain

$$x_0 B_0 \left(I - \Psi_0\right)^{-1} = x_0 R_1 \left(I - R\right)^{-1}.$$

4.2 Markov chains of M/G/1 type

We consider a discrete-time Markov chain P of M/G/1 type whose transition matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 & \cdots \\ B_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots \\ & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots \\ & & A_0 & A_1 & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

where the sizes of the two matrices B_1 and A_1 are m_0 and m, respectively, while the sizes of other matrices can be determined accordingly. We assume that this Markov chain is irreducible, aperiodic and positive recurrent. Let the matrix G be the minimal nonnegative solution to the nonlinear matrix equation $G = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k G^k$.

In what follows we provide two methods to derive the tailed probabilities of the stationary probability vector.

(a) The matrix-iterative solution

Using Chapter 2 of Li [16], the U-measure is given by

$$\Psi_0 = B_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k G^{k-2} G_1$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\Psi = \Psi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k G^{k-1};$$

and the R-measure

$$R_{0,j} = \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} B_k G^{k-1} \right) (I - \Psi)^{-1}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

and

$$R_j = \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} A_k G^{k-1} \right) (I - \Psi)^{-1}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

The stationary probability vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \tau y_0, \\ x_k = x_0 R_{0,k} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i R_{k-i}, \quad k \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where y_0 is the stationary probability vector of the censored Markov chain Ψ_0 to level 0 and the scalar τ is determined by $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k e = 1$ uniquely. Thus, we obtain

$$\pi_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} x_j = x_0 \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} R_{0,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} R_{j-i}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{28}$$

(b) The UL-type RG-factorization

Note that $x = xP$, for $k \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\pi_k = x_0 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} B_j + \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \pi_i A_{k+1-i} + \pi_1 \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j,$$

this gives

$$\pi = \pi \mathbf{P} + \left(x_0 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} B_j, \ldots \right), \tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j & \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} A_j & \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} A_j & \cdots \\ A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots \\ & A_0 & A_1 & \cdots \\ & & A_0 & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Chapter 2 of Li [16], the U-measure is given by

$$\Phi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j G^{k-2} G_1$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\Psi = \Psi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k G^{k-1};$$

and the R-measure

$$R_{0,j} = \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} B_k G^{k-1} \right) (I - \Psi)^{-1}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

and

$$R_j = \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} A_k G^{k-1} \right) (I - \Psi)^{-1}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Thus, the UL-type RG-factorization is given by

$$I - \mathbf{P} = (I - R_U)(I - \Psi_D)(I - G_L), \tag{30}$$

where

$$R_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{0,1} & R_{0,2} & R_{0,3} & \cdots \\ & 0 & R_1 & R_2 & \cdots \\ & & 0 & R_1 & \cdots \\ & & & 0 & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_D = \text{daig}\left(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \ldots\right)$$

and

$$G_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ G_1 & 0 & & & \\ & G & 0 & & \\ & & G & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (29) and (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left(x_0 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} B_j, \ldots \right) (I - \mathbf{P})_{\min}^{-1} \\ &= \left(x_0 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} B_j, x_0 \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} B_j, \ldots \right) (I - G_L)^{-1} (I - \Psi_D)^{-1} (I - R_U)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Some Queueing Examples

In this section, we consider four queueing examples which indicate how to use our above results. We first provide a detailed discussion for the M/M/1 retrial queue with exponential retrial times. Then we simply analyze other three queueing examples: The M(n)/M(n)/1 queue, the M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations and the M/M/1 queue with repairable server.

5.1 The M/M/1 retrial queue

We consider an M/M/1 retrial queue with exponential retrial times, where the arrival, service and retrial rates are λ, μ and θ, respectively. We denote by $N(t)$ and $C(t)$ the number of customers in the orbit and the state of server at time t, respectively, where

$N(t) = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $C(t) = W$ for the busy server or I for the idle server. For $k \geq 0$, we write

$$p_{W,k}(t) = P\{C(t) = W, N(t) = k\}$$

and

$$p_{I,k}(t) = P\{C(t) = I, N(t) = k\}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p_{W,0}(t) = -(\lambda + \mu) p_{W,0}(t) + \lambda p_{I,0}(t) + \theta p_{I,1}(t), \\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p_{W,k}(t) = -(\lambda + \mu) p_{W,k}(t) + \lambda p_{I,k}(t) + (k+1)\theta p_{I,k+1}(t) + \lambda p_{W,k-1}(t), \quad k \geq 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p_{I,0}(t) = \mu p_{W,0}(t) - \lambda p_{I,0}(t), \\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p_{I,k}(t) = \mu p_{W,k}(t) - \lambda p_{I,k}(t) - k\theta p_{I,k}(t), \quad k \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Let

$$Q_{W,k}(t) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} p_{W,l}(t), \quad Q_{I,k}(t) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} p_{I,l}(t).$$

Then it follows from (31) that for $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} Q_{W,k}(t) = \lambda \left[Q_{W,k-1}(t) - Q_{W,k}(t)\right] - \mu Q_{W,k}(t) + \lambda Q_{I,k}(t) + \theta \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j p_{I,j}(t).$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j p_{I,j}(t) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j \left[Q_{I,j}(t) - Q_{I,j+1}(t)\right] = (k+1) Q_{I,k+1}(t) + \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} Q_{I,j}(t),$$

we obtain that for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} Q_{W,k}(t) =& \lambda \left[Q_{W,k-1}(t) - Q_{W,k}(t)\right] - \mu Q_{W,k}(t) + \lambda Q_{I,k}(t) \\
&+ \theta \left[(k+1) Q_{I,k+1}(t) + \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} Q_{I,j}(t)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Similarly, it follows from (32) that for $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} Q_{I,k}(t) = \mu Q_{W,k}(t) - \lambda Q_{I,k}(t) - \theta \left[k Q_{I,k}(t) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} Q_{I,j}(t)\right]. \tag{34}$$

Specifically, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Q_{I,0}\left(t\right)=\mu Q_{W,0}\left(t\right)-\lambda Q_{I,0}\left(t\right)-\theta\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}Q_{I,j}\left(t\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

with the boundary condition

$$Q_{W,0}\left(t\right)+Q_{I,0}\left(t\right)=1. \tag{36}$$

For the M/M/1 retrial queue, for $k\geq 0$ we write the tailed probabilities as

$$\pi_{W,k}=\lim_{t\rightarrow+\infty}Q_{W,k}\left(t\right),\quad \pi_{I,k}=\lim_{t\rightarrow+\infty}Q_{I,k}\left(t\right).$$

Note that for $l\geq 0$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Q_{W,l}\left(t\right)=0,\ \ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Q_{I,l}\left(t\right)=0,$$

it follows from (33) to (36) that a system of linear equations is given by

$$\mu\pi_{W,0}-\lambda\pi_{I,0}-\theta\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\pi_{I,j}=0, \tag{37}$$

$$\pi_{W,0}+\pi_{I,0}=1, \tag{38}$$

and for $k\geq 1$

$$\lambda\left(\pi_{W,k-1}-\pi_{W,k}\right)-\mu\pi_{W,k}+\lambda\pi_{I,k}+\theta\left[\left(k+1\right)\pi_{I,k+1}+\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\pi_{I,j}\right]=0 \tag{39}$$

and

$$\mu\pi_{W,k}-\lambda\pi_{I,k}-\theta\left[k\pi_{I,k}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\pi_{I,j}\right]=0. \tag{40}$$

Let

$$\Pi=\left(\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Pi_3,\ldots\right),$$

where

$$\Pi_k=\left(\pi_{W,k},\pi_{I,k}\right),\quad k\geq 1,$$

$$Q=\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C & & & & & \\ B_2 & A_2 & C & & & & \\ D & B_3 & A_3 & C & & & \\ D & D & B_4 & A_4 & C & & \\ D & D & D & B_5 & A_5 & C & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

where for $k \geq 1$

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} -(\lambda+\mu) & \mu \\ \lambda & -(\lambda+k\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (k+1)\theta & -\theta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta & -\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\pi_{W,0} = \rho$ and $\pi_{I,0} = 1-\rho$, it follows from (39) and (40) that

$$\Pi Q = (-\lambda\rho, 0, 0, \ldots). \tag{42}$$

To compute the tailed probabilities, we write

$$E_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (k-1)\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \geq 2,$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C & & & & \\ E_2 & A_2 & C & & & \\ & E_3 & A_3 & C & & \\ & & E_4 & A_4 & C & \\ & & & E_5 & A_5 & C \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ -D & 0 & & & & \\ -D & -D & 0 & & & \\ -D & -D & -D & 0 & & \\ -D & -D & -D & -D & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the matrix $\mathcal{Q}$ is the infinite-small generator of an irreducible QBD process. Hence, using (1.21) in Chapter 1 of Li [16] we have the UL-type RG-factorization as follows

$$\mathcal{Q} = (I - R_U)\, U_D\, (I - G_L),$$

where

$$R_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_0 & & & & \\ & 0 & R_1 & & & \\ & & 0 & R_2 & & \\ & & & 0 & R_3 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_D = \operatorname{diag}\left(U_0, U_1, U_2, U_3, \ldots\right)$$

and

$$G_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ G_1 & 0 & & & \\ & G_2 & 0 & & \\ & & G_3 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}e = (0, -\theta; 0, -\theta; 0, -\theta; 0, -\theta; \ldots) \lneqq 0$, the matrix U_j is invertible for $j \geq 0$. This gives

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1} = (I - G_L)^{-1} U_D^{-1} (I - R_U)^{-1},$$

where

$$U_D^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}\left(U_0^{-1}, U_1^{-1}, U_2^{-1}, U_3^{-1}, \ldots\right).$$

It follows from (41) and (42) that $Q = \mathcal{Q} - \Lambda$ and

$$\Pi\left(\mathcal{Q} - \Lambda\right) = (-\lambda\rho, 0, 0, \ldots),$$

thus we obtain

$$\Pi\left(I - \Lambda\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}\right) = (-\lambda\rho, 0, 0, \ldots)\,\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}. \tag{43}$$

Let

$$\left(I - \Lambda\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}\right)^n.$$

Then using (43) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= (-\lambda\rho, 0, 0, \ldots)\,\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda\mathcal{Q}_{\max}^{-1}\right)^n \\ &= (-\lambda\rho, 0, 0, \ldots)(I - G_L)^{-1} U_D^{-1} (I - R_U)^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\Lambda (I - G_L)^{-1} U_D^{-1} (I - R_U)^{-1}\right]^n. \end{aligned}$$

This can be calculated by some ordinary matrix computation.

5.2 The M(n)/M(n)/1 queue

We consider an M(n)/M(n)/1 queue whose arrival and service rates depend on the number of customers in this system, denoted as λ_n and μ_n, respectively. We denote by $N(t)$ the number of customers in this system at time t. Then $N(t) = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. For $k \geq 0$, we write

$$Q_k(t) = P\{N(t) \geq k\}$$

and when the M(n)/M(n)/1 queue is stable,

$$\pi_k = \lim_{t \to +\infty} Q_k(t).$$

Then we obtain that for $k \geq 1$

$$\lambda_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k) = \mu_k(\pi_k - \pi_{k+1})$$

with the boundary condition $\pi_0 = 1$. Let $\pi_1 = g \in (0,1)$ and $\rho_{k-1} = \lambda_{k-1}/\mu_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\pi_1 - \pi_2 = \rho_0(\pi_0 - \pi_1) = \rho_0(1-g)$$

and for $k \geq 2$

$$\pi_k - \pi_{k+1} = \rho_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k) = \rho_{k-1}\rho_{k-2}\cdots\rho_1\rho_0(1-g).$$

We obtain

$$g = (\rho_0 + \rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_3\rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \cdots)(1-g),$$

which follows

$$g = \frac{\rho_0 + \rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_3\rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \cdots}{1 + (\rho_0 + \rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_3\rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \cdots)}.$$

Thus this gives that for $k \geq 1$

$$\pi_k = \frac{\rho_{k-1}\rho_{k-2}\cdots\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_k\rho_{k-1}\rho_{k-2}\cdots\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_{k+1}\rho_k\rho_{k-1}\rho_{k-2}\cdots\rho_1\rho_0 + \cdots}{1 + (\rho_0 + \rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \rho_3\rho_2\rho_1\rho_0 + \cdots)}.$$

5.3 The M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations

We consider an M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations, where the arrival, service and vacation rates are λ, $\mu = 1$ and θ. The vacation process is based on the multiple vacation policy: When there is not any customer at one server and its buffer, it immediately takes a vacation and keeps taking vacations until it finds at least one customer waiting in the server or its buffer at the vacation completion instant. The arrival, service and vacation processes are independent of each other.

Let $N(t)$ be the number of customers in the queueing system at time t, and

$$\xi(t)=\begin{cases} V, & \text{if the server is taking a vacation at time } t, \\ W, & \text{if the server is working at time } t. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{(\xi(t), N(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain on a state space $E = \{(V,k), (W,l) : k \geq 0, l \geq 1\}$. We write

$$Q_{V,l}(t) = P\{\xi(t) = V, N(t) \geq l\}, \quad l \geq 0,$$

and

$$Q_{W,k}(t) = P\{\xi(t) = W, N(t) \geq k\}, \quad k \geq 1;$$

$$\pi_{V,k} = \lim_{t\to+\infty} Q_{V,k}(t), \quad k \geq 0,$$

$$\pi_{W,l} = \lim_{t\to+\infty} Q_{W,l}(t), \quad l \geq 1.$$

Then we obtain

$$(\pi_{W,1} - \pi_{W,2}) - \theta\pi_{V,1} = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\lambda(\pi_{V,k-1} - \pi_{V,k}) - \theta\pi_{V,k} = 0, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{45}$$

$$\lambda(\pi_{W,l-1} - \pi_{W,l}) - (\pi_{W,l} - \pi_{W,l+1}) + \theta\pi_{V,l} = 0, \quad l \geq 2. \tag{46}$$

Note that $\pi_{V,0} = 1-\lambda$ and $\pi_{W,1} = \lambda$, thus using (45) we obtain

$$\pi_{V,k} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\theta}\right)^k (1-\lambda), \quad k \geq 0,$$

and from (44) that

$$\pi_{W,2} = \lambda - \frac{\lambda\theta}{\lambda+\theta}(1-\lambda).$$

Using $\pi_{W,1} = \lambda$ and $\pi_{W,2} = \lambda - \lambda\theta(1-\lambda)/(\lambda+\theta)$, it follows from (46) that for $k \geq 3$

$$\pi_{W,k} = \pi_{W,k-1} - \lambda(\pi_{W,k-2} - \pi_{W,k-1}) - \theta\pi_{V,k-1}, \tag{47}$$

which can be computed iteratively.

Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -(1+\lambda) & \lambda & & \\ 1 & -(1+\lambda) & \lambda & \\ & 1 & -(1+\lambda) & \lambda \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then using (47) we obtain

$$\left(\pi_{W,2},\pi_{W,3},\pi_{W,4},\ldots\right)Q=-\left(\lambda\pi_{W,1}+\theta\pi_{V,2},\theta\pi_{V,3},\theta\pi_{V,4},\ldots\right). \tag{48}$$

Let

$$R=\lambda,\quad G=1.$$

Then

$$U_k=-\left(1+\lambda\right)+R=-1,\quad k\geq 0,$$

$$U_D=\operatorname{diag}\left(-1,-1,-1,-1,\ldots\right),$$

$$R_U=\begin{pmatrix}0 & \lambda & & & \\ & 0 & \lambda & & \\ & & 0 & \lambda & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_L=\begin{pmatrix}0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\pi_{W,2},\pi_{W,3},\pi_{W,4},\ldots\right)&=-\left(\lambda\pi_{W,1}+\theta\pi_{V,2},\theta\pi_{V,3},\theta\pi_{V,4},\ldots\right)Q_{\max}^{-1}\\&=-\left(\lambda\pi_{W,1}+\theta\pi_{V,2},\theta\pi_{V,3},\theta\pi_{V,4},\ldots\right)\left(I-G_L\right)^{-1}U_D^{-1}\left(I-R_U\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left(I-G_L\right)^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\left(I-R_U\right)^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \lambda^3 & \cdots \\ & 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \cdots \\ & & 1 & \lambda & \cdots \\ & & & 1 & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots\end{pmatrix},$$

for $k \geq 2$ we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{W,k} &= \lambda^{k-1}\pi_{W,1} + \theta \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \lambda^i \sum_{l=k-i}^{\infty} \pi_{V,l} \\ &= \lambda^k + (1-\lambda)\frac{\lambda^2}{\theta}\left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\theta}\right)^{k-1}\right].\end{aligned}$$

5.4 The M/M/1 queue with repairable server

We consider an M/M/1 queue with repairable server, where the arrival and service rates are λ and μ, respectively. The life time of the server is exponential with failure rate $\alpha > 0$. Once the server failed, it immediately is repaired, and the repair time is exponential with repair rate β. The repaired server is the same as the new one. We assume that all the random variables defined above are independent of each other.

For this M/M/1 repairable queue, we denote by $N(t)$ and $C(t)$ the number of customers in this queueing system and the state of the server at time $t \geq 0$, respectively, where $N(t) = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and $C(t) = W$ for server working or R for server repair. It is easy to see that $\{(N(t), C(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain. For $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$, we write

$$Q_{W,k}(t) = P\{C(t) = W, N(t) \geq k\}$$

and

$$Q_{R,l}(t) = P\{C(t) = R, N(t) \geq l\};$$

$$\pi_{W,k} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} Q_{W,k}(t)$$

and

$$\pi_{R,l} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} Q_{R,l}(t).$$

Then we obtain

$$\pi_{W,0} + \pi_{R,1} = 1 \tag{49}$$

$$-\alpha\pi_{W,1} + \beta\pi_{R,1} = 0, \tag{50}$$

for $k \geq 1$

$$\lambda(\pi_{W,k-1} - \pi_{W,k}) - \mu(\pi_{W,k} - \pi_{W,k+1}) - \alpha\pi_{W,k} + \beta\pi_{R,k} = 0, \tag{51}$$

for $l \geq 2$

$$\lambda(\pi_{R,l-1} - \pi_{R,l}) + \alpha\pi_{W,l} - \beta\pi_{R,l} = 0. \tag{52}$$

It follows from (51) that

$$\lambda\pi_{W,0}-\mu\pi_{W,1}-\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\pi_{W,k}+\beta\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\pi_{R,k}=0 \tag{53}$$

and from (52) that

$$\lambda\pi_{R,1}+\alpha\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\pi_{W,k}-\beta\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\pi_{R,k}=0,$$

which, together with (50), leads to

$$\lambda\pi_{R,1}+\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\pi_{W,k}-\beta\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\pi_{R,k}=0. \tag{54}$$

Using (53) and (54), we obtain

$$\lambda\pi_{W,0}-\mu\pi_{W,1}+\lambda\pi_{R,1}=0. \tag{55}$$

It follows from (49), (50) and (55) that

$$\pi_{W,0}=1-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\frac{\alpha}{\beta},$$

$$\pi_{W,1}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}=\rho$$

and

$$\pi_{R,1}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\frac{\alpha}{\beta}.$$

It follows from (51) and (52) that for $k\geq 2$

$$\pi_{W,k}=\frac{\lambda+\mu+\alpha}{\mu}\pi_{W,k-1}-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\pi_{W,k-2}-\frac{\beta}{\mu}\pi_{R,k-1}$$

and

$$\pi_{R,k}=\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+\beta}\pi_{W,k}+\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\beta}\pi_{R,k-1}.$$

Therefore, $\pi_{W,k}$ and $\pi_{R,k}$ for $k\geq 2$ can be computed iteratively.

Remark 1 *Since the M/M/1 queue with repairable server may be described as a level-independent QBD process, the tailed probabilities* $\pi_{W,k}$ *for* $k\geq 0$ *and* $\pi_{R,l}$ *for* $l\geq 1$ *can be given by the matrix-geometric solution (6) or (10).*

6 Concluding remarks

This paper discusses the tailed probabilities of queueing processes, such as, the QBD processes and Markov chains of GI/M/1 type and of M/G/1 type, and provides some effective and efficient algorithms for computing the tailed probabilities by means of the matrix-geometric solution, the matrix-iterative solution, the matrix-product solution and the two types of *RG*-factorizations. Also, we consider four queueing examples: The M/M/1 retrial queue, the M(n)/M(n)/1 queue, the M/M/1 queue with server multiple vacations and the M/M/1 queue with repairable server, where the M/M/1 retrial queue is given a detailed discussion, while the other three queues are analyzed simply. It is seen from the four queueing examples that the method of this paper can be applied to deal with more general queues including the MAP/PH/1 queue, the GI/PH/1 queue and the BMAP/SM/1 queue.

The results given in this paper are very useful in the study of large scale stochastic networks with resource management, such as, supermarket models and work stealing models. Also, it will open a new avenue to helpfully analyze the tailed probabilities of many large scale stochastic networks when applying differential equations and mean-field limits.

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under grant No. 71271187 and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province under grant No. ****.

References

[1] J. Abate, G. Choudhury and W. Whitt (1994). Asymptotics for steady-state tail probabilities in structured Markov queueing models. *Stochastic Models* **10**, 99–143.

[2] S. Asmussen and J.R. Møller (1999). Tail asymptotics for $M/G/1$ type queueing processes with subexponential increments. *Queueing Systems* **33**, 153–176.

[3] M. Bramson (2011). Stability of join the shortest queue networks. *Annals of Applied Probability* **21**, 1568–1625.

[4] M. Bramson, Y. Lu and B. Prabhakar (2010). Randomized load balancing with general service time distributions. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGMETRICS international conference on Measurement and modeling of computer systems*, pages 275–286.

[5] M. Bramson, Y. Lu and B. Prabhakar (2012). Asymptotic independence of queues under randomized load balancing. *Queueing Systems* **71**, 247–292.

[6] M. Bramson, Y. Lu and B. Prabhakar (2011). Decay of tails at equilibrium for FIFO join the shortest queue networks. Submited for publication to *Annals of Applied Probability.* **Available in Available in arxiv.org**, arXiv:1106.4582.

[7] L.W. Bright and P.G. Taylor (1995). Calculating the equilibrium distribution in level-dependent quasi-birth-and-death processes. *Stochastic Models* **11**, 497-526.

[8] L.W. Bright and P.G. Taylor (1996). Equilibrium distribution in level-dependent quasi-birth-and-death processes. In: *Matrix Analytic Methods in Stochastic Models*, A.S. Alfa and S. Chakravarthy (eds), Marcel Dekker: New York, pages 359-375.

[9] G. Choudhury and W. Whitt (1994). Heavy-traffic asymptotic expansions for the asymptotic decay rates in the $BMAP/G/1$ queue. *Stochastic Models* **10**, 453–498.

[10] S.N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz (1986). *Markov processes: Characterization and Convergence.* John Wiley & Sons.

[11] E. Falkenberg (1994). On the asymptotic behavior of the stationary distribution of Markov chains of $M/G/1$ type. *Stochastic Models* **10**, 75–97.

[12] P. Jacquet and N. Vvedenskaya (1998). On/off sources in an interconnection networks: Performance analysis when packets are routed to the shortest queue of two randomily selected nodes. Technical Report N^0 3570, INRIA Rocquencourt, Frence.

[13] P. Jacquet, Y.M. Suhov and N. Vvedenskaya (1999). Dynamic routing in the mean-field limit. Technical Report N^0 3789, INRIA Rocquencourt, Frence.

[14] T.G. Kurtz (1981). *Approximation of Population Processes.* SIAM.

[15] G. Latouche and V. Ramaswami (1999) *Introduction to Matrix Analytic Methods in Stochastic Models.* ASA-SIAM.

[16] Q.L. Li (2010). *Constructive Computation in Stochastic Models with Applications: The RG-Factorizations*. Springer and Tsinghua Press.

[17] Q.L. Li (2011). Super-exponential solution in Markovian supermarket models: Framework and challenge. **Available in arxiv.org**, arXiv:1106.0787.

[18] Q.L. Li and J. Cao (2004) Two types of *RG*-factorizations of quasi-birth-and-death processes and their applications to stochastic integral functionals. *Stochastic Models* **20**, 299-340.

[19] Q.L. Li and J.C.S. Lui (2010). Doubly exponential solution for randomized load balancing models with Markovian arrival processes and PH service times. **Available in Available in arxiv.org**, arXiv:1105.4341.

[20] Q.L. Li, J.C.S. Lui and Y. Wang (2011). A matrix-analytic solution for randomized load balancing models with PH service times. In: *Performance Evaluation of Computer and Communication Systems: Milestones and Future Challenges*, H. Hlavacs, W. Gansterer and K.A. Hummel (eds), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 6821, pages 240–253.

[21] Q.L. Li and Y.Q. Zhao (2005). Light-tailed asymptotics of stationary probability vectors of Markov chains of GI/G/1 type. *Advances in Applied Probability* **37**, 1075-1093.

[22] Q.L. Li and Y.Q. Zhao (2005). Heavy-tailed asymptotics of stationary probability vectors of Markov chains of GI/G/1 type. *Advances in Applied Probability* **37**, 482-509.

[23] J.B. Martin (2001). Point processes in fast Jackson networks. *Annals of Applied Probability* **11**, 650–663.

[24] J.B. Martin and Y.M Suhov (1999). Fast Jackson networks. *Annals of Applied Probability* **9**, 854–870.

[25] M.D. Mitzenmacher (1996). The power of two choices in randomized load balancing. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of California at Berkeley, USA.

[26] M.D. Mitzenmacher (1998). Analyses of load stealing models based on differential equations. In: *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures*, pages 212–221.

[27] M.D. Mitzenmacher (1999). On the analysis of randomized load balancing schemes. *Theory of Computing Systems* **32**, 361–386.

[28] M.D. Mitzenmacher (2000). How useful is old information? *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* **11**, 6–20.

[29] M.D. Mitzenmacher, B. Prabhakar and D. Shah (2002). Load balancing with memory. In: *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Sciences*, pages 799–808.

[30] M.D. Mitzenmacher, A. Richa and R. Sitaraman (2001). The power of two random choices: A survey of techniques and results. In: *Handbook of Randomized Computing: Volume 1*, P. Pardalos, S. Rajasekaran and J. Rolim (eds), pages 255–312.

[31] M.D. Mitzenmacher and E. Upfal (2005). *Probability and Computing: Randomized Algorithms and Probabilistic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press.

[32] V. Naoumov (1996). Matrix-multiplicative approach to quasi-birth-and-death processes. In: *Matrix Analytic Methods in Stochastic Models*, A.S. Alfa and S. Chakravarthy (eds), Marcel Dekker: New York, pages 87-106.

[33] M.F. Neuts (1981) *Matrix-Geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models-An Algorithmic Approach*. The Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore.

[34] M.F. Neuts (1989) *Structured Stochastic Matrices of M/G/1 Type and Their Applications*, Marcel Dekker: New York.

[35] V. Ramaswami (1996) A tutorial overview of matrix analytic method: with some extensions & new results, In: *Matrix Analytic Methods in Stochastic Models*, A.S. Alfa and S. Chakravarthy (eds), Marcel Dekker: New York, pages 261-296.

[36] Y.M. Suhov and N.D. Vvedenskaya (2002). Fast Jackson Networks with Dynamic Routing. *Problems of Information Transmission* **38**, 136–153.

[37] T. Takine (2004). Geometric and Subexponential Asymptotics of Markov Chains of M/G/1 Type. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **29**, 624-648.

[38] N.D. Vvedenskaya, R.L. Dobrushin and F.I. Karpelevich (1996). Queueing system with selection of the shortest of two queues: An asymptotic approach. *Problems of Information Transmissions* **32**, 20–34.

[39] N.D. Vvedenskaya and Y.M. Suhov (1997). Dobrushin's mean-field limit for a queue with dynamic routing. *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **3**, 493–526.

[40] N.D. Vvedenskaya and Y.M. Suhov (2005). Dynamic routing queueing systems with vacations. Information Processes. Electronic Scientific Journal. The Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics. *The Institute for Information Transmission Problems* **5**, No. 1, RAS, Moscow, 74–86.